



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/696,792	10/30/2003	Christopher L. Schwab	65857-0112	8128	
10291 7	7590 05/05/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
RADER, FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC 39533 WOODWARD AVENUE			NICHOLSON, ERIC K		
SUITE 140		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48304-0610		610	3679		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Communication	10/696,792	SCHWAB, CHRISTOPHER L.	7
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	+
	Eric K Nicholson	3679	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	9
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		· ·	•
4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.	•		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii from consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12</u> is/are rejected.	t en	•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement		
,	olosilon roquiroment.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.``		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLC C \$ 110(a)	(d) or (6)	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	phonty under 35 C.S.C. § 119(a)	-(u) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau		a was reasonal olago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
	,		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ite atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	4.1	

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 10 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 10, line 7, "the covering layer" lacks proper antecedent basis. It is suggested that "the" be changed to "a". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,792

Art Unit: 3679

Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.K. patent 2,071,799 to Elliot. The Elliot hose assembly as shown in fig. 10 includes a nipple assembly having a nipple fastener 8 proximate one end with a nipple 21 extending from the end, the nipple fastener having at least a first thread (unnumbered shown in fig. 10) formed thereon; a socket 9 covering the nipple and having at least a second thread (unnumbered shown in fig. 10) mating with the external thread of the nipple fastener for drawing the socket 9 towards the nipple assembly; a sleeve 14 interposed between the socket 9 and the nipple 21, the sleeve having at least one barb 20 formed on a surface contacting a tube 12 and having a cavity 15 formed to contact an end of the tube. An annular sealing member 16 is positioned in the cavity to contact the nipple and whereby upon rotating the socket 9 relative to the nipple assembly, the sleeve 14 causes the tube 12 to be compressed between the sleeve 14 and the nipple 21 thereby sealing the hose against the nipple assembly. As to claim 5 the seal contacts the end of the tube that fits in the socket. As to claim 6, see sealing element 16 which is a circumferential ring, page 2, line 69.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,792

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. patent 2,833,567 to Bacher et al. in view of U.S. patent 4,875,720 to Sasa et al.. The Bacher et al. coupling discloses the claimed device Which includes a hose having a covering layer 12 over a tube 14, a nipple assembly having a nipple fastener proximate one end with a nipple 24 extending from the end, the nipple fastener having at least a first thread 22 formed thereon; a socket 20 covering the nipple and having at least a second thread 22 mating with the external thread of the nipple fastener for drawing the socket 20 towards the nipple assembly; a sleeve 26 interposed between the socket 20 and the nipple 24.

Art Unit: 3679

the sleeve having at least one barb 42 formed on a surface contacting a tube 14 however the Bacher et al. includes an integral seal member 34 on the end of the sleeve rather than a separate seal in a cavity. Sasa et al. discloses that it is known in the art to provide a similar type coupling, with hose 4,nipple 6, sleeve 10 and socket 8 threaded to body 1 with a seal 6 in a cavity formed at the end of the sleeve 10. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the integral seal 34 form on the end of the sleeve 26 of Bacher et al. with the separate seal 6 formed in a cavity in the sleeve such as taught by Sasa et al. in order provide cost savings by allowing the seal to be replaced when wore while not having to replace the entire sleeve by making the seal separable rather than integral as such does not patentably define over the disclosed prior art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,792

Art Unit: 3679

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Nicholson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0829. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesdays thru Fridays from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola, can be reached on (703) 308-2686. The fax phone number for Technology Center 3600 is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

Art Unit: 3679

<u>direct.uspto.gov</u>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

ekn 4/30/04

Eric K. Nicholson
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 3600